From: <u>Melissa Panger</u>
To: <u>Nicholas Federoff</u>

Cc: <u>Brian Anderson; Andrew Shelby; Bill Jacobs; Christine Hartless; Edward Odenkirchen; James Lin; Jean Holmes;</u>

Jennifer Gaines; Kristina Garber; Shannon Borges; William Erickson

Subject: Re: review panel for diphacinone

Date: 05/26/2011 04:57 PM

It looks like it was based on genetics, geographic isolation, and morphology (color, not size)(this is from the natural history info from the SF Bay folder on the G:drive):

The CTS is now considered to be a separate species, *A. californiense*, because of its geographic isolation from *A. tigrinum*, differences in coloration between the two species, and the findings of recent genetic comparison studies (USFWS, 2003). These two species, *A. tigrinum* and *A. californiese* will hybridize if *A. tigrinum* are introduced into the habitat of *A. californiese* (USFWS, 2003). However, the range of the CTS does not naturally overlap with any other species of tiger salamander (USFWS, 2003).

▼ Nicholas Federoff---05/26/2011 01:41:25 PM---it would seem that the CTS was a subspecies (Ambystome tigrinum californiense) and now a completely

From: Nicholas Federoff/DC/USEPA/US To: Melissa Panger/DC/USEPA/US@EPA

Cc: Brian Anderson/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Andrew

Shelby/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Bill Jacobs/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Christine Hartless/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Edward Odenkirchen/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, James Lin/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Jean Holmes/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Jennifer Gaines/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Kristina Garber/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Shannon Borges/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, William Erickson/DC/USEPA/US@EPA

Date: 05/26/2011 01:41 PM

Subject: Re: review panel for diphacinone

it would seem that the CTS was a subspecies (Ambystome tigrinum californiense) and now a completely different species (Ambystoma californiense) than the Tiger Salamander (Ambystoma tigrinum). Is there a size difference between the 2? I am wondering what the reclassification was based on, genetics, morphology or both?



▼ Melissa Panger---05/26/2011 12:50:48 PM---Based on the info below (provided by Catherine Aubee - back in Dec. 2009) it was decided that the CT

From: Melissa Panger/DC/USEPA/US To: Brian Anderson/DC/USEPA/US@EPA

Cc: Andrew Shelby/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Bill Jacobs/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Christine Hartless/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Edward

Odenkirchen/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, James Lin/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Jean Holmes/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Jennifer Gaines/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Kristina

Garber/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Nicholas Federoff/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Shannon Borges/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, William Erickson/DC/USEPA/US@EPA

Date: 05/26/2011 12:50 PM

Subject: Re: review panel for diphacinone

Based on the info below (provided by Catherine Aubee - back in Dec. 2009) it was decided that the CTS does eat small mammals (this I believe also went through the TBTT). The decision was to use the same prey-size calculations as the CRLF (i.e., 2/3 its body weight - as done in T-HERPS). The confusion has come about because this is one of the many errors that has been found in the SF Bay template, that hasn't been fixed:

Hi Katrina,

For the most part, herp life history references are difficult to obtain, because the species were described so long ago. Here are a couple for the California tiger salamander that might be helpful. These are for *in situ* specimens; captive accounts report small mammals in the diet more frequently.

1) Lemm, J. M. 2006. Field Guide to Amphibians and Reptiles of the San Diego Region. University of California Press. Berkeley.

2) A nice summary of tiger salamander diet from AmphibiaWeb (you may already have this; they snagged it from the book *Amphibian Declines*):

For California tiger salamander (*Ambystoma californiense*, formerly *Ambystome tigrinum californiense*)

Newly hatched larvae begin feeding after a few days. Larvae are gapelimited predators. Smaller larvae feed primarily on zooplankton (cladocerans and copepods); older larvae feed on tadpoles (primarily of Pacific treefrogs; Pseudacris regilla), ostracods, amphipods, midge larvae, water boatmen (Corixidae), and pond snails (Anderson, 1968).

Nothing has been published on feeding ecology of postmetamorphic juveniles or adults. Based on captive individuals, they are presumed to take a wide variety of invertebrate and small vertebrate prey. (Catherine's note: There are publications, but most deal with functional morphology and behavior instead of specific prey types.)

For tiger salamander (Ambystoma tigrinum)

Tiger salamander larvae are gape-limited, size selective feeders. They